

**REMARKS**

Claims 19, 23, 24 and 28 were pending prior to entering the amendments.

**The Amendment**

Claim 19 is amended according to the Examiner suggestion.

Claims 24 and 28 are canceled.

No new matter is added in any of the amendments. The Examiner is requested to enter the amendment and reconsider the application.

**The Response**

**35 USC §112, Second Paragraph, Rejections**

Claims 19, 23, 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants have amended Claim 19 as suggested by the Examiner, which provides proper antecedent basis.

Claims 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps.


Applicants do not agree with the Examiner. However, to further the allowance of this application, Applicants have cancelled Claims 24 and 28.

**Request for Telephone Interview**

Applicants have received five Office Actions and filed two Requests for Continued Examination in the application. In this Response, Applicants have adopted the Examiner's suggestion and overcome all the rejections. Applicants believe that the application is now in good and proper condition for allowance. In the event that the Examiner finds any new ground of rejection or any minor defects in the claims, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner calls the undersigned attorney to discuss any outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 18, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Viola T. Kung, Ph.D. (Reg. No. 41,131)

**HOWREY LLP**  
2941 Fairview Park Drive  
Box 7  
Falls Church, VA 22042  
Ph. (650) 798-3570